

United States Patent and Trademark Office

een

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/697,001	10/31/2003	Kyoko Matsuda	0033-0907P	8244
2292	7590 10/25/2006		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			VAN ROY, TOD THOMAS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2828	
•			DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/697,001	MATSUDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner MM	Art Unit			
T. MAIL IV. 2.2.	Tod T. Van Roy	2828			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	3 August 2006.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2 and 8-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).			
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
	•				
 Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur 	· ·	eceived in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eceived			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/697,001

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The examiner acknowledges the amending of claims 1 and 3, and the withdrawal of claim 2.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, and 3-7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Jacquet et al. (US 5283799) in view of Ishikawa (JP 02-137383, applicant submitted prior art) and further in view of (Giacomelli et al., "Noise enhancement of telegraph

Application/Control Number: 10/697,001

Art Unit: 2828

signals in vertical cavity surface emitting lasers," IEEE Quantum electronics conference, 10-15 Sept. 2000).

With respect to claim 1, Jacquet teaches a semiconductor laser reducing feedback-induced noise comprising: an active layer having a light amplifying region and a saturable absorber region (col.2 lines 60-66, col.6 lines 10-13) formed to allow said semiconductor laser to be in a bistable state (col.1 lines 16-17), an electrode of a first polarity (fig.1 E1), and an electrode of a second polarity provided in relation to said electrode of the first polarity (fig.1 #2), at least one of said electrode of the first polarity and said electrode of the second polarity is divided to allow a current to be injected independently into said light amplifying region and said saturable absorber region (col.3) lines 4-10, 20-25). Jacquet does not teach controlling the hysteresis to adjust the lasing threshold of the laser, or the laser to produce an optical output modulated as a stochastic resonance (SR). Ishikawa teaches a bistable device wherein the hysteresis is controlled to adjust the lasing threshold (abs.). Giacomelli teaches a bistable laser that has an output modulated as a stochastic resonance (abs.). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the bistable device of Jacquet with the bistable threshold controlled device of Ishikawa in order to obtain a large modulation optical output without a driver circuit (Ishikawa, abs.) and exert a higher degree of control over the device via adjustment of the threshold conditions, as well as to utilize the SR of Giacomelli in order to improve the quality of the output signal (Giacomelli, lines 1-4).

Application/Control Number: 10/697,001

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claims 3 and 5, Jacquet and Ishikawa teach the bistable device as outlined in the rejection to claim 1, including the DC driving of the device, but do not disclose driving the device using a modulated signal with a superimposed noise current. Giacomelli teaches a method for operating a laser diode wherein a modulated current signal is superimposed with a noise current (abs.) having a random intensity change (white noise) is used to drive the device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the device of Jacquet and Ishikawa with the driving current of Giacomelli in order to improve the quality of the output signal (lines 1-4), allowing for improvement of amplitude and reduction of feedback noise.

With respect to claim 4, Jacquet, Ishikawa and Giacomelli teach the bistable device as outlined in the rejection to claim 3, including the use of a sinusoidal modulated driving current representing ones and zeros (Giacomelli), but do not teach the modulated current to have a rectangular wave. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a rectangular wave as the modulation signal, as this type of wave is sinusoidal and is often used to represent binary signals.

With respect to claim 6, Jacquet, Ishikawa and Giacomelli teach the bistable device as outlined in the rejection to claim 3, and Jacquet further teaches the ratio of the absorber to be between 50% and 1% of the length of the resonator (fig.1 S2, col.6 lines 25-37)

With respect to claim 7, Jacquet, Ishikawa and Giacomelli teach the bistable device as outlined in the rejection to claim 3, but do not teach the difference between

the maximum and minimum value of the noise current to be at most an amplitude of the modulation current. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to sustain the noise current amplitude below that of the modulation current as doing otherwise would, in essence, change the noise current into the modulation current and vice versa (the noise current would then essentially be responsible for driving the device, with a small modulation signal added to it).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tod T. Van Roy whose telephone number is (571)272-8447. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun Harvey can be reached on (571)272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TVR

Art Unit: 2828